

The question then recurred in seconding the demand for the previous question.

Mr. JOHNSON gave notice of his intention to move to reconsider the vote of the Convention on the amendment adopted to the substitute offered by Mr. Michael Newcomer, on yesterday, striking out "three judges" and substituting "one judge." He said that the Convention had already decided that the judges should be elected by the people, instead of being appointed by the Governor, and they could elect judges known by themselves to be qualified to fill the office, while the Governor appointed men to the office on the recommendation of party friends, without knowing their qualifications. This was the great reform he desired.

The previous question was then seconded and the question was stated, "shall the main question be now put?"

Mr. CHAMBERS, of Kent, asked the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and being taken were as follows:

*Affirmative.*—Messrs. Howard, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Lloyd, Sherwood, of Talbot, Eccleston, Chambers, of Cecil, McCullough, Miller, McLane, Spencer, Grason, George, Wright, Jacobs, Thomas, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Thawley, Gwinn, Sherwood, of Baltimore city, Ware, Fiery, Neill, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Weber, Holliday, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and Brown—40.

*Negative.*—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Morgan, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Wells, Randall, Kent, Sellman, Weems, Dalrymple, Brent, of Charles, Buchanan, John Dennis, Dashiell, Hodson, Phelps, Bowie, Tuck, Sprigg, McCubbin, Dirickson, McMaster, Hearn, Fooks, Johnson, Schley, John Newcomer, Kilgour, Waters and Anderson—32.

So the main question was ordered to be now put, viz: "Will the Convention accept the substitute offered by Mr. Bowie?"

The yeas and nays were ordered on agreeing to the substitute, and being taken, appeared as follows:

*Affirmative.*—Messrs. Chapman, President, Morgan, Lee, Dalrymple, Buchanan, Bowie, Tuck, Sprigg, McCubbin, Spencer, Kilgour, Brewer, Anderson and Holliday—14.

*Negative.*—Messrs. Ricaud, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Wells, Randall, Kent, Sellman, Weems, Brent, of Charles, Howard, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Lloyd, Sherwood, of Talbot, John Dennis, Crisfield, Hodson, Eccleston, Phelps, Chambers, of Cecil, McCullough, Miller, McLane, Grason, George, Wright, Dirickson, McMaster, Fooks, Jacobs, Thomas, Johnson, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Thawley, Gwinn, Sherwood, of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley, Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Davis, Waters, Weber, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and Brown—58.

So the Convention refused to accept the substitute.

Mr. CHAMBERS, of Kent, gave notice that at

the proper time, he should move to reconsider the vote of the Convention taken on yesterday, adopting the second branch of the amendment offered by Mr. Crisfield to the 9th section of the report.

The question was then put,

Will the Convention accept the substitute as offered by Mr. Michael Newcomer, and amended on the motions of Messrs. Shriver and Johnson, for the amendment proposed by Mr. Jenifer, as the 10th section of the report?

Mr. BISER asked the yeas and nays.

Mr. JOHN NEWCOMER inquired if it would be in order to move any amendment?

The PRESIDENT replied that it would not, the previous question not yet having been exhausted.

Mr. SCHLEY inquired if it would be in order to move a division of the proposition

The PRESIDENT was of the opinion that it would not, as there was but a single proposition pending. If the Convention should adopt the proposition, it would then be competent to divide the amendment.

The yeas and nays were then ordered on agreeing to the substitute offered by Mr. Newcomer, and being taken, appeared as follows:

*Affirmative.*—Messrs. Ricaud, Sellman, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Ridgely, Sherwood, of Talbot, Crisfield, Dashiell, Eccleston, Phelps, McCullough, Grason, George, Wright, Dirickson, Fooks, Jacobs, Thomas, Johnson, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, Magraw, Thawley, Gwinn, Sherwood, of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley, Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Davis, Kilgour, Brewer, Waters, Weber, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and Brown—46.

*Negative.*—Messrs. Chapman, President, Morgan, Lee, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Wells, Randall, Kent, Dalrymple, Brent, of Charles, Howard, Lloyd, John Dennis, Hodson, Chambers, of Cecil, Miller, McLane, Bowie, Tuck, Sprigg, McCubbin, Spencer, McMaster, McHenry, Anderson and Holliday—26.

So the Convention accepted the substitute.

The question then recurred on the adoption of the article as amended.

Mr. BOWIE demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and being taken, were as follows:

*Affirmative.*—Messrs. Mitchell, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Ridgely, Sherwood, of Talbot, James U. Dennis, Crisfield, Dashiell, Eccleston, Phelps, McCullough, Grason, George, Wright, Dirickson, McMaster, Fooks, Jacobs, Thomas, Johnson, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, Magraw, Thawley, Gwinn, Sherwood, of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley, Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Davis, Brewer, Weber, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and Brown—45.

*Negative.*—Messrs. Chapman, President, Morgan, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers, of Kent, Donaldson, Wells, Randall, Kent, Sellman, Dalrymple, Brent, of Charles, Jenifer, Howard, Lloyd, John Dennis, Williams, Hodson, Chambers, of Cecil, Miller, McLane, Bowie, Tuck, Sprigg,